

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Bengt ROTHMAN

GAU:

2834

SERIAL NO: 09/509,466

EXAMINER: ENAD, E.

FILED:

JUNE 13, 2000

FOR:

ROTATING ELECTRIC MACHINE WITH MAGNETIC CIRCUIT

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME **UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.136**

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

It is hereby requested that a three month extension of time be granted to APRIL 11, 2002 for

filing a response to the Official Action dated: OCTOBER 11, 20	001.
--	------

- responding to the requirements in the Notice of Allowability dated:
- ☐ filing the Formal Drawings. The Issue Fee due

has been timely filed.

- responding to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Application dated:
- ☐ filing a Notice of Appeal. A timely response to the final rejection, due

has been filed.

- ☐ filing an Appeal Brief. A Notice of Appeal was filed on:
- ☐ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. Therefore, the fee amount shown below is reduced by one-half.

The required fee of \$920.00 is enclosed herewith by check and any further charges may be made against the Attorney of Record's Deposit Account No. 15-0030. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000 Fax. (703) 413-2220

(OSMMN 11/98)

I:\atty\tjf\9847\196946\196946.eot.wpd

Bradley D. Lydle

Registration No.

40,073

Thomas J. Fisher

Registration No.

44,681



10/Reg. for Recox. 4/17/02 Dlawkins

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

BENGT ROTHMAN

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2834

SERIAL NO: 09/509,466

FILED: JUNE 13, 2000

: EXAMINER: ENAD, E.

FOR: ROTATING ELECTRIC MACHINE

WITH MAGNETIC CIRCUIT

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

Responsive to the Official Action mailed October 11, 2001, Applicant in the aboveidentified application respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejections set forth therein.

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application in view of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-7 are pending.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1, 2, and 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Shildneck (U.S. Patent No. 3,014,139) in view of Elton et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,853,565, hereinafter Elton); and Claims 3-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Shildneck in view of Elton, and further in view of Starcevic (U.S. Patent No. 4,258,280).